Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Nathan L. Coker,*‡ Charles E. Bedel, Jeanette A. Krause and R. C. Elder

Department of Chemistry, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH 45221-0172, USA

‡ Current address: Department of Physical Sciences, Morehead State University, Morehead, KY 40351, USA

Correspondence e-mail: nl.coker@morehead-st.edu

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 150 KMean $\sigma(\text{N}-\text{C}) = 0.007 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.022 wR factor = 0.059 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.1

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. In the title compound, $\{NH_4[Au(SCN)_2]\}_n$, the Au^I atom is in a linear configuration with the coordinating S atoms. The extended structure can be described as a one-dimensional polymeric chain with alternating Au—Au bond distances of 3.1409 (3) and 3.1723 (3) Å.

catena-Poly[ammonium [bis(thiocyanato)-

Comment

aurate(I)](Au—Au)]

Photophysical studies of Au^{I} complexes have gained widespread attention in recent years, focusing particularly on the relationship of emission and excitation energies and the type of gold–gold interaction (Rawashdeh-Omary *et al.*, 2001; Lee *et al.*, 2002; Chen *et al.*, 2005). We have reported the crystal structures and emission spectra of the alkali (K⁺, Rb⁺,Cs⁺), NMe₄⁺, NBu₄⁺ and PPh₄⁺ salts of bis(thiocyanato)aurate(I) (Coker *et al.*, 2004). These complexes were found to have monomer, dimer or polymeric chain structures with a range of Au–Au distances. Furthermore, it was discovered that, while the Au–Au distance does not correlate with the size of the cation, the inverse distance does correlate with the emission energy.



Here we report the preparation and structural characterization of $NH_4[Au(SCN)_2]$, (I) (Fig. 1). Compound (I) is considered to be approximately isostructural with the alkali salt complexes (Coker *et al.*, 2004). The space group and unitcell parameters observed here are very similar to those observed previously. These complexes all have the same general features with only small differences in the overall structure.

The emission spectrum of (I) shows an emission band at 627 nm, which lies between the bands for the NBu₄⁺ (539 nm) and NMe₄⁺ (635 nm) complexes. Thus, based on the emission spectra, one would expect that the Au—Au distance for (I) would be in the range 3.07–3.18 Å. In (I), we observe the short Au—Au distance of 3.1409 (3) Å within the predicted range and relatively close to the NMe₄⁺ distance of 3.1794 (2) Å. The extended structure of (I) (Fig. 2) adopts the same one-dimensional polymeric motif, with an antiparallel arrangement of the ribbons, as we found for the alkali metal salts.

Received 30 November 2005 Accepted 13 January 2006

Acta Cryst. (2006). E62, m319–m321

All rights reserved

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography



Figure 1

A segment of the polymeric chain structure of (I) with 50% probability displacement ellipsoids [symmetry code: (A) 1 - x, $y, \frac{1}{2} - z$].



Figure 2

Antiparallel one-dimensional extended packing arrangement of (I) (the c axis runs horizontally and the b axis runs vertically).

Experimental

ClAuSC₄H₈ (1 equivalent) was dissolved in acetonitrile (30 ml). NH₄SCN (2 equivalents), dissolved in a minimal amount of acetonitrile, was added and the mixture stirred for 15 min. The solution was filtered and evaporated at room temperature to give a very palepink solid (95% yield). This solid was dissolved in a minimal amount of acetonitrile, to which was added a solvent mixture consisting of diethyl ether, dichloromethane and carbon tetrachloride (1:1:1 v/v/v), until the solution became cloudy. The resulting solution was placed in a freezer (253 K) until precipitation occurred. The solution was filtered and the resulting solid was washed with two portions of diethyl ether. The purified solid was recrystallized from slow diffusion of acetonitrile-diethyl ether at 253 K. IR: 3236 (s), 2820 (w), 2126 (s), 2063 (w) cm⁻¹. Raman: 2120 (s), 2110 (s), 720 (w), 306 (m) cm⁻¹. Negative ion MS: 313 (s), 249 (w) m/z.

Crystal data

$(NH_{i})[Au(NCS)_{i}]$	$D = 3.240 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 331.17$	$D_x = 3.240$ Mg m Cu K α radiation
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	Cell parameters from 3756
a = 12.9665 (2) Å	reflections
b = 6.3132 (1) Å	$\theta = 5.3-67.7^{\circ}$
c = 17.6643 (3) Å	$\mu = 45.69 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 110.122 (1)^{\circ}$	T = 150 (2) K
V = 1357.74 (4) Å ³	Rod, colorless
Z = 8	$0.11 \times 0.04 \times 0.03 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART6000 CCD
diffractometer
ω scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 2003)
$T_{\min} = 0.061, T_{\max} = 0.256$
5457 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.022$
$wR(F^2) = 0.059$
S = 1.16
1209 reflections
75 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained

olorless $0.04 \times 0.03 \text{ mm}$ 1209 independent reflections 1123 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.029$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 67.7^{\circ}$ $h = -15 \rightarrow 15$

 $k = -6 \rightarrow 7$ $l = -21 \rightarrow 21$

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.033P)^2]$
+ 4.3348 <i>P</i>]
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.97 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.58 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXL97
Extinction coefficient: 0.000284 (16)

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	D-H·	$\cdot \cdot A$
$N3-H1\cdots N2^{i}$ $N3-H2\cdots N2^{ii}$	0.92 0.88	2.10 2.17	2.987 (6) 3.020 (6)	161 163	
$N3-H3\cdots N1^{m}$	0.88	2.24	3.004 (6)	145	
symmetry codes:	(i) $-x + 1$,	-y + 2, -z + 1;	(ii)	x, y - 1, z;	(iii)

-x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

H atoms were initially located in a difference Fourier map; the positions were then adjusted to give better $H-N \cdots H$ angles and held fixed in subsequent refinements; N-H = 0.86-0.92 Å and $U_{iso}(H)$ = $1.5U_{eq}(N)$. The maximum and minimum electron-density peaks are located 0.80 Å from atom Au1 and 0.86 Å from atom Au2, repsectively.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2002); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2002); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Bruker, 2003); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL and DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2005); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

Funding for the SMART6000 diffractometer through NSF-MRI grant CHE-0215950 is gratefully acknowledged.

References

Brandenburg, K. (2005). DIAMOND. Version 3.0. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany

Bruker (2002). SMART (Version 5.628) and SAINT (Version 6.45A). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Bruker (2003). SHELXTL (Version 6.14). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chen, J., Mohamed, A. A., Abdou, H. E., Krause Bauer, J. A., Fackler, J. P. Jr, Bruce, A. E. & Bruce, M. R. M. (2005). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 1575–1577.
- Coker, N. L., Krause Bauer, J. A. & Elder, R. C. (2004). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126, 12–13.
- Lee, Y.-A., McGrath, J. E., Lachicotte, R. J. & Eisenberg, R. (2002). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124, 10662–10663.
- Rawashdeh-Omary, M. A., Omary, M. A., Patterson, H. H., Fackler, J. P. Jr (2001). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 123, 11237–11247.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2003). SADABS (Version 2.10). University of Göttingen, Germany.